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No general solution on the market!
Severe memory constraints
Needs: low latency, high bandwidth, use few resources
FPGAs with softcores can put lowest layers in logic fabric

Core functionalities
(applying to distributed embedded systems)

- Multi-tasking (or: processes, threads, ...)
  - Best paradigm for executing multiple functions on same processor
  - Provides modularity and information hiding for software development
  - Needed to reduce idle time while waiting for communication
  - Sleep modes during idle time saves power
  - Allows concurrency with system level support

- Scheduling
  - Static only for synchronous dataflow
  - Dynamic for meeting real-time constraints

- Synchronisation
  - With hardware
  - Between tasks

- Communication
  - Idem
  - Application independent link drivers

- Memory management
- Resource management
Some requirements

- Portability:
  - across processor types
  - across communication backbones
  - across heterogeneous systems
  - cross-development on host

- Scalability:
  - from SP to MP to loosely coupled
  - local support as well WLAN support
  - user extensible
  - topology independent:
    - build routing and buffering
    - 'distributed semantics'

- Performance
  - real-time scheduling and real-time communication
  - low latency, low memory footprint

- Reliability
  - correctness by design
  - 'trust-worthy component'

General architecture

[Diagram showing the architecture with layers labeled as follows: Hardware abstraction Layer (HAL), Distributed RTOS, Single CPU RTOS, Null OS BSP, Distributed BSP, Router and RPC support, L0, L1, L2, Board Hardware, Application]
OpenComRTOS

- Scalable distributed RTOS based on message passing
  - actually: scalable communication layer with scheduling support
- distributed semantics (transparant parallel programming)
- formally analysed and validated
  - extensive system-wide message passing protocols
  - using formal model checkers (e.g. based on CSP)
- safety and security by compatible plug-ins/extensions
  - same external behavior, but blocking 'faults' and 'intrusions'
- 3+ layers:
  - (NULL-OS)-L: testing and local I/O
  - L0
    - very small (1 K), core primitives, core system packets
    - typical use: MP-SoC, DSPs
    - includes scheduler, low latency router and drivers
  - L1
    - sema, queue, mailbox, resources, ...: traditional RTOS services
    - emulate RTOS (but often only SP), cabinet level
  - L2
    - supports widely distributed operation, RT-CORBA

Packet structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Header</th>
<th>Data/Frame</th>
<th>Layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OpenComRTOS: Level L2
- variable size packets
- widely distributed addressing
- dynamic protocol packets
- extensible API

OpenComRTOS: Level L1
- fixed size packets
- cluster addressing
- dynamic protocol packets
- API emulation

OpenComRTOS: Level L0
- fixed size packets
- tightly clustered addressing
- static protocol packets
- system packets
- scheduler
- runtime monitor

hardware support or tunneling protocol

3+ layers:
- (NULL-OS)-L: testing and local I/O
- L0
  - very small (1 K), core primitives, core system packets
  - typical use: MP-SoC, DSPs
  - includes scheduler, low latency router and drivers
- L1
  - sema, queue, mailbox, resources, ...: traditional RTOS services
  - emulate RTOS (but often only SP), cabinet level
- L2
  - supports widely distributed operation, RT-CORBA
### Development and target environment

- Host (PC or WS)
- Target 2xDSP

### Different protocols: permanent presence

- Boot packets
- Command packets
- Data packets
- Debug packets
- Logging packets?
- I/O packets?
- ... NOT TOO MANY!
- Each protocol has 'sub-states' (sequence-chart)
- Protocols must be hierarchical, reflected in datastructures
- All can map on protocol identifiers
- Standardisation needed for interoperability
**NULL-OS layer**

- Hardware semi-dependent layer: no scheduling
- Important for diagnostics, debugging, booting phase
  - minimum interference with OS and hardware
  - not intended for operational use
- Worm: map out existing topology
- Netloader: boot complete system via links
  - from 'host' (mission controller) or safe mass-memory
  - processors
  - peripherals (INT's, SMCS, ...)
  - flash, eprom, ..
  - option: floodfiller
- I/O with hostserver during development or during operation
  - access to hostservices and ports
  - can be remote over e.g. ground connection
- Not limited list of services: see document
  - Board and processor specific variations
  - Standardisation helps in portability

**L0**

- Minimum 'RTOS'
  - scheduling
  - routing
  - buffering
- Minimum set of primitives
  - SemaSetMW
  - SemaGetMW
  - Peek (remote or local read)
  - Poke (remote or local write)
  - or just a Move (distributed memcopy) ?
  - SetScheduling
- Target code size: 1K
L1

- Higher level, traditional RTOS services
  - but most RTOS semantics are not suitable!
- Local events (binary)
- Distributed:
  - counting semaphores
  - queues
  - mailboxes
  - pipes
  - memory maps
  - resources
  - process control
- Group operations
- Many-to-many semantics
- Blocking, non-blocking, time-outs
- Emulation of COTS RTOS (within limits)
- Target codesize: 10-20K

L2

- Mostly open
- Primitives to define new packets and protocols
  - CreatePacket
  - SendPacket
  - ReceivePacket
  - DefineService
  - CallService
- Should allow to run across heterogenous, 'alien' networks using tunneling
(Link) Drivers

- Three types:
  - Point-to-point: between directly connected nodes, no protocol
  - Direct-to-I/O: between node and I/O, I/O specific protocol
  - NetLink drivers: to provide virtual connections with system-level protocol

- Error recovery and faults
  - make maximum use of SpaceWire support (rather unique in world!)
  - principle:
    - layered transaction protocols with ACKs
    - failures and errors at lower level are invisible at higher levels:
      - built-in safety support, but as an option
    - allows to program application independently of fault support mode

System wide addressing issues

- In order to provide transparency at runtime, each destination of source should have unique identifier
- Tree-structured domains, scope issues
- Cfr. IPv4 or IPv6 addressing
- What can have an address or logical ID?
  - task or processor
  - I/O port
  - link port
  - host node
  - ...
Remaining issues

- Trade-offs remain a developer's issue. Low level programming still possible but to be used with care
- Support for static operation vs. dynamic operation
- Trade-off between portability, performance, development time and development reliability
- Likely not possible or even desired that all hardware features are supported (possible conflicts)
- Better a simple design that works than a complex one (with many features) that is not fully predictable
- Better a simple design that is a bit slower on average than one that is faster some of the times