### SpaceWire Test, Verification and Certification Requirements and Approaches

#### Yuriy Sheynin

St. Petersburg State University of Aerospace Instrumentation St.Petersburg, RUSSIA <u>sheynin@aanet.ru</u>



# What to Test, Verify and Certify (TVC)

SpaceWire Test and Verification:

- Devices that implement SpaceWire
  - SpaceWire links, link controller chips
  - SpaceWire nodes
  - SpaceWire routers
- IP-blocks that implement SpaceWire
  - RTL-models
  - Post-synthesis models
    - System-level models



## Whose responsibility?

- Standardization board, SpaceWire WG ?
- Designer ?
- □ Manufacturer ?
- User?
- The responsibility is divided between them

Many interconnection standardization boards have TVC as part of their activity, part of the standardization (RapidIO, Infiniband, MIPI, etc.)



### **Testing and Verification classes**

Device Interoperability testing (DI); demonstrates interoperability with a limited set of reference devices.

Specification compliance testing (SI); demonstrates that a standard implementation complies the standard itself (no testing equipment specifics are taken into account)



Device Interoperability testing (DI) (RapidIO as an example)

- DIL-1: Device Initialization, Identification, basic transaction sending/receiving
- DIL-2: Detailed Device testing for all the protocol functions
- DIL-3: Testing Device operation and reaction to external errors



### SpaceWire standard(s)

- We have the basic SpaceWire standard
- We (almost) have its extension by Transport layer protocol
  - Transport layer packet format with PID
    - RMAP,
  - Future TPs
- We plan to have next SpaceWire standard release this year



### What to support by TVC

- 1. The basic SpaceWire standard
- 2. Next release of the basic SpaceWire standard
- **3**. Transport layer protocols, as they will evolve
  - Any new protocol, or a feature into an existing one, should have a support for TVC



### What we have

- Some devices for TVC that have been developed by different companies
- Proprietary tests, TVC procedures
- No specified as a document methodology for TVC
- No agreed and specified test sets, no test sets available for a designer or tester
- No available instrument set for these nonspecified yet methodology



## What should be provided along with the standard by the SpaceWire WG

- Methodology for Test and Verification (informative)
- Methodology for Certification (normative)
- Test sets
- Instruments for Test, Verification and Certification

(support in development, recommended list)



# What should be provided by the Designer

- Testing environment for his design
- Complement to the standard test set by specific for particular UUT settings (e.g. setting configuration, operation modes, routing tables for a router, etc.)
- Analysis and interpretation of log files



### Problems: open issues in the SpaceWire standard

- Configuration space of nodes and routers is not specified in the SpaceWire, not unified.
  - A node and a router operation depends upon configuration settings,
    (e.g. a Router and its routing tables, adaptive routing registers, etc.)
  - We have to deal with the problem somehow...
  - [ Testing and Verification -- Another reason for Configuration space standardization in SpaceWire ]
- Current SpaceWire standard doesn't specify detailed format of packets and reaction of a node to a particular packet



### Instruments for device TVC

#### Obvious:

- Test to the UUT generation
- Running TVC procedures
- Analyzing results
- Logging, creating log-files with detailed data in the course of testing
- Generating external faults for a SpaceWire UUT
  - Logical faults
  - Protocol faults
  - Physical level, signal level faults (?) (e.g. jitter generation?)



## Certification

Normative requirements to Certification of products for compliance with the SpaceWire Standard:

procedures, tests, instruments, reports

Certification Centres ?



# Support for IP-blocks testing and verification

#### BFM (Base Formal Model)

- hierarchical model of a protocol port
- hierarchical model of a basic router
- BFM levels correspond to the standard's protocol stack layers.
- BFM are programmed in a high-level languages (e.g. SystemC for RapidIO BFM, VHDL, Verilog)



### **BFM Structure for RapidIO**



## Testing with BFM

- IP-block (or chip) designer includes BFM modules in his testing environment
- Complement the standard test set by specific for particular UUT settings
- Runs the testing environment with his design for a sequence of standardized TVC procedures
- Similar procedures, with the ready-made component models, test sequences and prescribed testing procedures could be done by a user of and IP-block



### Next steps

- Coordinate our efforts in TVC
- Analysis and development of TVC methodology document as a chapter in the SpaceWire standard
- Specification and development of test sets
- Designing a set of BFMs for SpaceWire, various levels



Thank you !



### SUAI is ready to participate in:

#### BFM development for the SpaceWire (SystemC, VHDL)

- Review and development of standard's sections on test, verification and certification methodology, procedures
- Development of standardized tests, test sequences



### Interface «local device»





### «memory directory» Interface



Data exchange with memory:

- RIO model (SystemC) calls the memory\_request function (data transfer direction address words number as parameters)
- 2. When the memory device is ready for data interchange it calls functions get\_data or set\_data



## **PHY-level** interface



The Local device calls function **Send**\_\*\*\* to send a packet.

If the RIO PL model is ready for such type of request, it calls function **Ack\_\*\*\***; after it, **Get\_data** to get data that should constitute the packet.



### Instruments for testing

Protocol analyzer (e.g. IBCTracer 4X for the Infiniband)

What levels of the SpaceWire protocol to cover?



### Tests and test traffic

- Traffic generator
- Test sets for SpaceWire protocol stack layers
  - packet traffic test sets
  - Signals test sets, test vectors sequences

