



SpaceWire

Test, Validation and Certification: Experiences, Thoughts and Ideas

**Barry M Cook, C Paul H Walker
4Links Limited**

**SpaceWire WG10
20-21 February 2008**

Introduction and Content 4Links

~~Link design AND System design~~

1. Why do we need validation / examples
2. How were errors found
3. Responsibilities
4. Economics – Characteristics
5. Economics – Models
6. Guidelines

Why



SpaceWire links *appear* to be easy to design

BUT

- **There are subtleties that challenge even the experienced**
 - **Design tools offer limited help**
- **Design errors are going undetected**
- **Some errors have been caught early, some shortly before sign-off and some made it to silicon and products**

Examples



Incorrect implementation of time codes	Early detection
---	------------------------

Examples



Incorrect implementation of time codes	Early detection
Loss of data	Last-minute detection

Examples



Incorrect implementation of time codes	Early detection
Loss of data	Last-minute detection
Loss of flow-control	Silicon – SMCS332SpW Delayed router Product – SpW IP Tunnel

Examples



Incorrect implementation of time codes	Early detection
Loss of data	Last-minute detection
Loss of flow-control	Silicon – SMCS332SpW Delayed router Product – SpW IP Tunnel
Incorrect timeout detection	Silicon – SMCS332SpW Product – SpW IP Tunnel

Examples



Incorrect implementation of time codes	Early detection
Loss of data	Last-minute detection
Loss of flow-control	Silicon – SMCS332SpW Delayed router Product – SpW IP Tunnel
Incorrect timeout detection	Silicon – SMCS332SpW Product – SpW IP Tunnel
Packets with error delivered as correct	Silicon – SMCS332SpW Product – SpW IP Tunnel

How were these found



Use Intelligence and Experience

- What to try, what to look for, what to try next

Use tools able to precisely control/measure test conditions

- **Precise error injection**
 - all possible SpW errors (functional and timing)
- **Precise measurement of behaviour**
 - full visibility of reactions (functional and timing)
- **Ability to test over a range of conditions**
 - within and beyond UUT specifications
 - to provoke asynchronous errors and determine margins

Responsibilities



- **Who, if anyone, is responsible for ensuring designs meet specifications?**
 - **Suppliers**
 - **Self-certification / 3rd party service**
 - **Contractors**
 - **Use certification service**
 - **Agencies**
 - **List approved designs ... Who checks conformance?**
- **What should a contract specify?**
 - **Behaviour**
 - **Must meet the specification**
 - **Implementation**
 - **Use design X – even if it contains errors and does not meet the specification**

Economics - Characteristics



- **Some errors are easily found**
- **Some errors are found only with carefully designed and executed test strategies**
- **Testing can never be guaranteed to find all errors**
- **Design reviews complement testing**

Economics - Characteristics



- **Some errors are easily found**
- **Some errors are found only with carefully designed and executed test strategies**
- **Testing can never be guaranteed to find all errors**
- **Design reviews complement testing**

The better the design, the longer it takes to find errors – a perfect design will take forever!

- **Keep testing until the time/money runs out**
 - Practical, but offers little comfort
 - Testing is at the end when time/money is in short supply
 - Do you spend money at the start to get the design right or at the end to find errors?
- **Shared risk**
 - e.g. Base price + Bounty paid for each bug found
 - The tester may choose to extend testing in the hope of finding more problems

Guidelines



- **Test by someone other than the designer**
 - introduce different assumptions
- **Use the best tools available**
- **Develop a basic set of tests**
 - may be automated
- **Use intelligence to analyze results to see indication of further errors (not simple go/no-go decisions)**
 - difficult to automate
- **Characterize**
 - Margins (can be a powerful indicator of reliability)
 - performance figures that are not part of the specification

Conclusions



- **We have found errors in a number of designs**
 - all were believed, by their designers, to be correct
 - all could have (more or less) serious consequences if deployed
- **Verified/validated/certified components reduce risk for projects – both risk of failure and financial risk – so *long as that certification can be trusted***
- **A verification/validation/certification service should use the most experienced personnel with the best equipment available**
- **4Links is able to provide these and is offering such a service**